For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	BROOK HERMANN, No. C 11-02759 WHA
11	Plaintiff,
12	v. ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,
14	COUNTY OF MARIN,
15	Defendant/
16 17	On December 30, 2011, defendant filed a motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 25). Pursuant to
18	Civil Local Rule 7-3, plaintiff's opposition or statement of non-opposition to the motion was due
19	on January 13, 2012. None was filed.
20	Accordingly, plaintiff is hereby ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE why her claims should not
21	be dismissed for failure to oppose the motion. Plaintiff must file a written response to this order
22	by JANUARY 23, 2012. If no response is filed or if good cause is not shown, then the motion may
23	be granted or the action may be dismissed for failure to prosecute.
24	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	
27	Dated: January 18, 2012. WILLIAM ALSUP
28	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE